

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/529,037	03/24/2005	James A. Proctor Jr.	27-003-TN	9032	
23400	7590 10/05/2006		EXAMINER		
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC			LUU, LE HIEN		
	H LAKES DRIVE		A.D. V.D. V.D.	D + DED > H D + DED	
SUITE 101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, V	RESTON, VA 20191			2141	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/529,037	PROCTOR JR. ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Le H. Luu	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frou ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03,	<u>/24/05 - 06/22/06</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ The	nis action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) $igtie$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03/24/05</u> is/are: a) $igtie$	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03/24/05</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

1. Claims 1-29 are presented for examination.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior

to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

Bertonis et al. (Bertonis) Pub. No. 2003/0185163.

5. As to claim 1, Bertonis teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for

extending the range of a wireless local area network (WLAN), the WLAN including a

base unit connected to a wide area network, the base unit communicating with at least

one client unit using a protocol requiring the base unit and the at least one client unit to

receive and transmit information on a same frequency chosen from at least two

available frequencies, the base unit identifying which of the at least two available

frequencies is chosen in a control parameter transmitted in a protocol message

associated with the protocol, the method comprising:

transmitting a modified control parameter so that the chosen one of the at least

two available frequencies does not correspond to a channel upon which the base unit is

operating (pages 3-5; paragraphs [0037 - 0056]),

setting a receive channel associated with the client unit to match the chosen one

of the at least two available frequencies in the control parameter transmitted by the

base unit, and translating a first information signal transmitted from a first operating

channel associated with the base unit and retransmitting the information signal on a

second operating channel to the client unit (pages 3-5; paragraphs [0037 - 0056]), and

translating a second information signal transmitted from the second operating

channel associated with the client unit and retransmitting the second information signal

on the first operating channel associated with the base unit (pages 3-5; paragraphs [0037 - 0056]).

- 6. As to claims 2-6, Bertonis teaches modifying the control parameter such that a different one of the at least two available frequencies is identified as chosen; the base unit is connected to a wired wide area network or to a wireless wide area network; the protocol includes one of: 802.11a, 802.11b, 802.11a, 802.11g, Bluetooth, TDS-CDMA, TDD-W-CDMA, 802.16, and 802.20; and the translation is performed on an unscheduled basis (pages 3-5; paragraphs [0037 0056]).
- 7. Claims 7-29 have similar limitations as claims 1-6; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,037 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER